(1) CF 100/ Single Bench (2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 7006 / 2006

PETITIONER:

Smt. Hemin Bai,
W/o Late Shambhuram Kachlam,
Aged about 37 years,
R/o Van Gram Talgaon, Post Jhalmala
Tehsil Balod, District Durg , C.G.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of General
Administration, D.K.S.
Bhawan Mantralaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2. Chief Conservator of Forest,
Government of Chhattisgarh,
Jail Road, Raipur, C.G

3. Additional Chief Conservator of
Forest, (Adm./Non-Gazetted)
Raipur, C.G.

4. Collector,
District Durg, C.G.

5. Divisional Forest Officer,
Forest Division, Durg, District Durg,
C.G.

P.R. No. 21324 Presented by Sh. Abhijit Keshmmo dated 7/12/06

RECEIVED-COPY 7/12/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/[redacted] OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUENCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBTION, CERTIORARI, AND ANY OTHER WRITS, DIRECTIONS OR ORDERS.

(53)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 7006 of 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Hemin Bai

-Versus-

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

Shri Jitendra Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on 15th Day of December, 2006)

This order of the Court is passed by Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

1. Shri Sushil Dubey, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State submits that the compassionate appointment can be granted only when there is availability of vacancy.

2. The petitioner has filed an application for compassionate appointment before the respondents/authorities on 24.2.2003 (Annexure P/1), which was rejected.

3. The petitioner is at liberty make a fresh application, if so advised, indicating vacancy position for his appointment and the same shall be considered, in accordance with the policy of the State Government, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the application filed by the petitioner.

4. For the reasons-stated above, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu